Evaluation of two Real-Time BAX[®] PCR Assays for the Detection of Genus Listeria species and Listeria monocytogenes

Nisha Corrigan¹, Maryse Rannou², Lizaïg Gouguet², Christophe Quéré², Hugo Gonzalez¹ and Thomas Moeller¹ 1. Qualicon Diagnostics, LLC, 2 Boulden Circle, New Castle, DE 19720; 2. Adria Développement, ZA Creac'h Gwen, 29196 Quimper Cedex (France)

INTRODUCTION:

Detection of *Listeria* species and *L. mono* in food/environmental samples is of increasing importance highlighted by rising numbers/severity of food safety recalls and outbreaks in recent years. According to the ProMED website, the 2017-18 South African listeriosis outbreak involving polony, a processed meat, was the worst foodborne illness outbreak ever recorded causing 180 deaths and over 1,000 infections.¹ Due to the ever-growing need to develop faster techniques to detect Genus Listeria and L. *mono* in the food supply, two real-time PCR assays were evaluated in a sensitivity and collaborative study.

PURPOSE:

This study, performed by ADRIA labs (Quimper, France), aimed to evaluate two real-time PCR methods ability to detect Genus Listeria and Listeria monocytogenes in a broad range of foods and industrial production environmental samples to obtain AFNOR Certification according to NF Validation rules. Assay sensitivity, relative level of detection, inclusivity and exclusivity were assessed in pure culture and in food and environmental surface enrichments relative to ISO standard 16140-2:2016. Collaborative study was completed at a separate time.

METHOD:

Lysates of artificially spiked and naturally contaminated food/environmental enrichments from 6 sample categories (n=442 *Listeria* sp.; n=462 *L. mono*) in 24 LEB Complete media were prepared (see Table 1). Test kit results were compared to independently spiked or naturally contaminated samples, enriched per ISO. 50 target and 30 non-target strains of Genus Listeria and L. mono were tested during inclusivity/exclusivity studies. The inclusivity portion tested 10 cells/225 mL of 24 LEB Complete; the exclusivity portion tested pure cultures at $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml.

Category			Туре	Positive	Negative	Total
				samples	samples	
	Composite foods / Ready-to-eat and ready-to- reheat	a	Ready-to-eat	16	10	26
		b	Ready-to-reheat	13	19	32
1		С	Confectionaries, pastries and egg products	9	13	22
	Teneda	Total		38	42	80
		а	Raw products (frozen or fresh)	10	13	23
2	Meat Products	b	Meat based products ready to reheat	12	11	23
2	Meat Products	С	Raw and cooked delicatessen	18	22	40
		Total		40	46	86
		а	Raw milk cheeses	8	12	20
3	products c Heat treated products Total	b	Other products based on raw milk	14	12	26
3		9	13	22		
		Total		31	13 37 16	68
		а	Raw products (fresh and frozen)	12	16	28
4	Vegetables	b	Pre-cooked vegetables, vegetables under modified atmosphere	9	14	23
		С	RTE, RTRH	10	11	21
		Total		31	41	72
		а	Raw products (fresh and frozen)	7	15	22
F	Seafood and	b	Cured & smoked	25	17	42
5	fishery products	С	RTE, Ready to reheat	14	12	26
		Total		46	44	90
		а	Dusts and Residues	9	11	20
6	Environmental	b	Cleaning and Process Waters	10	16	26
0	Samples	С	Surface samples	12	8	20
		Total		31	35	66
Total protocol 1					206	380
Total protocol 2					39	82
		217	245	462		

RESULTS:

Of the five food and one environmental categories tested in this unpaired study, the BAX® Real-Time L mono method showed 39 positive deviations (PD) and 37 negative deviations (ND) for the overall categories. (Table 2). The BAX® Real-Time Genus Listeria method showed 35 PD and 38 ND for the overall categories (Table 3).

For both methods, the (ND - PD) calculated values are lower or equal to the acceptability limits (AL) for each of the individual categories, and for the overall 6 categories. The Relative Levels of Detection (RLOD) are all lower than the AL fixed at 2.5 for the unpaired data study, whatever the matrix/strain pairs. The inclusivity and exclusivity testing gave the expected results for the 50 appropriate target strains and the 30 non-target strains.

SIGNIFICANCE:

In 2016, there were 2555 confirmed Listeriosis case, with infants below 1 year and the elderly population over 64, have the highest rates of infection (1.3 and 1.6 per 100, 000 cases respectively).² These statistics is a reminder of how important it is to have a rapid and dependable food safety testing method in place to prevent these transmissions to our most vulnerable populations.

	Category		Туре	PA	NA	PD	ND	PPND	PPNA
		а	Ready-to-eat	6	9	4	6	0	1
	Composite foods / Ready-to	b	Ready-to-reheat	8	18	3	2	0	1
1	eat and ready-to- reheat	с	Confectionaries, pastries and egg products	5	12	1	3	0	1
			Total	19	39	8	11	0	3
		а	Raw products (frozen or fresh)	5	12	2	2	1	1
2	Meat Products	b	Meat based products ready to reheat	9	11	3	0	0	0
	Meat Products	С	Raw and cooked delicatessen	15	22	2	1	0	0
			Total	29	45	7	3	1	1
		а	Raw milk cheeses	5	12	1	2	0	0
•	Milks & Dairy	b	Other products based on raw milk	11	12	1	2	0	0
3	products	с	Heat treated products	3	13	3	3	0	0
			Total	19	37	5	7	0	0
		а	Raw products (fresh and frozen)	9	16	1	2	0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0
4	Vegetables	b	Pre-cooked vegetables, vegetables under modified atmosphere	3	14	3	3		0
		с	RTE, RTRH	7	10	1	2	0	1
			Total	19	40	5	7	0	1
		а	Raw products (fresh and frozen)	3	15	2	2	0	0
5	Seafood and	b	Cured & smoked	20	17	5	0	0	0
5	fishery products	С	RTE, Ready to reheat	8	12	2	4	0	0
			Total	31	44	9	6	0	0
		а	Dusts and Residues	8	11	1	0	0	0
6	Environmental	b	Cleaning and Process Waters	8	16	0	2	0	0
0	Samples	С	Surface samples	8	8	4	0	0	0
			Total	24	35	5	2		0
			protocol 1	106	201	32	35		5
	Total protocol 2			35	39	7	1		0
		All c	ategories	141	240	39	36	1	5

PA- Positive Agreement **NA- Negative Agreement PD-** Positive Deviation

ND- Negative Deviation PPND- Presumptive Positive/Negative Deviation PPNA- Presumptive Positive/Negative Agreement

	Category		Туре	PA	NA	PD	ND	PPND	PPNA
	Composite	а	Ready-to-eat	5	10	3	6	0	1
1	foods / Ready-	b	Ready-to-reheat	-to-eat 5 10 3 6 -to-reheat 6 14 4 3 ctionaries, pastries and egg 4 11 2 2 Total 15 35 9 11 roducts (frozen or fresh) 11 10 2 2 ased products ready to reheat 9 10 3 0 nd cooked delicatessen 14 15 0 2 Total 34 35 5 4 nilk cheeses 6 13 2 2 products based on raw milk 8 10 0 2 Total 21 33 3 6 roducts (fresh and frozen) 8 11 1 2 oked vegetables, vegetables 5 11 4 4 RTRH 6 12 2 0 Total 19 34 7 6 roducts (fresh and frozen) 5 14 1 2 added atmosphere 5 11 4 <t< td=""><td>0</td><td>1</td></t<>	0	1			
	to-eat and ready-to-reheat	с	Confectionaries, pastries and egg products	4	11	2	2	0	1
		Total			35	9	11	0	3
		а	Raw products (frozen or fresh)	11	10	2	2	0	0
2		b	Meat based products ready to reheat	9	10	3	0	0	0
2	Meat products	С	Raw and cooked delicatessen	14	15	0	2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
			Total	34	35	5	4	0	0
		а	Raw milk cheeses	6	13	2	2	0	0
~	Milk & dairy	b	Other products based on raw milk	8	10	0	2	0	0
3	products	с	Ready-to-reheat 6 14 4 3 Confectionaries, pastries and egg products 4 11 2 3 Total 15 35 9 1 Raw products (frozen or fresh) 11 10 2 3 Meat based products ready to reheat 9 10 3 0 Raw and cooked delicatessen 14 15 0 3 0 Total 34 35 5 4 3 0 3 0 Raw and cooked delicatessen 14 15 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 Total 34 35 5 4 11 15 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	2	0	0			
			Total	21	33	3	6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
		а	Raw products (fresh and frozen)	8	11	1	2	0	0
4	Vegetables	b	Pre-cooked vegetables, vegetables	5	11	4	4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
	J. J	С		6	12	2	0		0
		Total		19	34	7	6	0	0
		а	Raw products (fresh and frozen)	5	14	1	2	0	0
5	Seafood and fishery	b	Cured & smoked	24	16	4	3	0	0
5	products	с	RTE, Ready to reheat	10	11	1	4	0	0
	producto		Total	39	41	6	9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
		а	Dusts and Residues	5	11	3	1	0	0
6	Environmental	b	Cleaning and Process Waters	10	11 3 1 15 1 1	0	0		
0	Samples	С					_		0
									0
			*				33		3
			l protocol 2				5		0
		All categories 151 215 35 38 0				0	3		

PA- Positive Agreement NA- Negative Agreement PD- Positive Deviation

ND- Negative Deviation PPND- Presumptive Positive/Negative Deviation **PPNA-** Presumptive Positive/Negative Agreement

This study aimed to evaluate the performance the BAX[®] Real-Time Genus Listeria and BAX[®] Real-Time *L monocytogenes* methods in a broad range of food and environmental samples. Both BAX[®] Real-Time *Listeria* assays fulfilled all the EN ISO 16140-2:2016 and AFNOR technical rules (Draft Revision 6) and were able to obtain AFNOR certification according to NF validation rules (QUA 18/09-01/19 and QUA 18/10-01/19).

<u>https://www.promedmail.org</u>
<u>https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/AER_for_2016-listeriosis.pdf</u>

P2-134

Table 2. Interpretation of results between BAX[®] RT *L mono* and reference methods (based on confirmed alternative test results

able 3. Interpretation of results between BAX[®] RT Genus *Listeria* and reference methods (based on confirmed alternative test results)

