### Gynecology and Minimally Invasive Therapy 3 (2014) 39-42

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Gynecology and Minimally Invasive Therapy

journal homepage: www.e-gmit.com



# Hui-Yu Huang <sup>a</sup>, Chih-Feng Yen <sup>a</sup>, Ming-Ping Wu <sup>b, c, d, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou Medical Center, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Taoyuan, Taiwan

<sup>b</sup> Division of Urogynecology and Pelvic Floor Reconstruction, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chi Mei Foundation Hospital, Tainan, Taiwan

<sup>c</sup> College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>d</sup> Chia Nan University of Pharmacy and Science, Tainan, Taiwan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 January 2014 Received in revised form 20 February 2014 Accepted 22 February 2014 Available online 8 June 2014

Keywords: Complication Electrosurgery Electrothermal injury Laparoscopy Tissue effect

## Introduction

Since the introduction of the small medical video camera in the mid-1980s, laparoscopic surgery has brought a revolution in surgical techniques with shorter hospitalization and convalescence.<sup>1,2</sup> Minimally invasive surgery has become a gold standard for benign gynecologic lesions, and surgical laparoscopy is widely accepted as an efficacious technique in the management of gynecologic lesions.<sup>3</sup> Patients as well as the surgeons may enthusiastically accept these new minimally invasive techniques for treatment of gynecologic as well as surgical diseases.<sup>4</sup>

# The growing trend of laparoscopic electrosurgery

According to a 10-year (1996–2005) nationwide populationbased study in Taiwan, the use of the laparoscopic approach for hysterectomy has increased dramatically from 5.20% in 1996 to 40.40% in 2005, along with a concomitant decrease of abdominal hysterectomy from 77.33% in 1996 to 45.68% in 2005.<sup>5</sup> The use of laparoscopic surgery for benign ovarian pathology has increased significantly from 35.78% in 1997 to 71.66% in 2007, with a significant decrease in

E-mail address: mpwu@mail.chimei.org.tw (M.-P. Wu).

ABSTRACT

Electrosurgery is widely used in laparoscopic surgeries. It is essential to understand the principles of using appropriate electric currents and techniques to achieve the desired tissue effect and avoid complications. We reviewed the literature concerning the incidence of electrosurgical injuries, the mechanisms of injury, and recognition and management of electrosurgical complications. Alertness to postoperative warning signs, patient education prior to discharge, and the detection of delayed manifestations with salvage maneuvers may minimize catastrophic complications.

Copyright © 2014, The Asia-Pacific Association for Gynecologic Endoscopy and Minimally Invasive Therapy. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

> laparotomy from 64.22% in 1997 to 28.34% in 2007.<sup>6</sup> For ectopic pregnancy, use of laparotomy has significantly decreased from 81.08% in 1997 to 26.05% in 2007; however, the use of laparoscopic procedures for the condition have significantly increased from 18.9% in 1997 to 73.95% in 2007.<sup>7</sup> The spatial orientation, hand-eye coordination, and manipulative skills required for laparoscopy are different from an open approach.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, surgeons who are skilled in open techniques may require further training to adapt to laparoscopic techniques. Surgeons are aware of these learning curves, during which time complication rates may be appreciable.<sup>8,9</sup> Multiple technological advances have allowed surgeons to treat extensive disease and perform complicated procedures by laparoscopy. The complication rate may decrease with increasing experience with the laparoscopic procedure; however, the increasingly advanced and complicated procedures performed by the gynecologists via laparoscopy further potentiates the risk of complications.<sup>10</sup> As surgeons seek to treat more complicated cases via laparoscopy, the need for versatile and reliable hemostasis is important. Labor-intensive laparoscopic suturing techniques were being used for hemostasis; currently, titanium clips, stapling devices, and electrosurgery are being used.

## The mechanisms of electrosurgical trauma

The rate of electrosurgical complications during delivery of energy to the surgical site is estimated to be 25.6% (70/273) and is the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gmit.2014.05.004

2213-3070/Copyright © 2014, The Asia-Pacific Association for Gynecologic Endoscopy and Minimally Invasive Therapy. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.







Conflicts of interest: All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Division of Urogynecology and Pelvic Floor Reconstruction, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chi Mei Foundation Hospital, 901, Chung-Hwa Road, Yung-Kang, Tainan, 710, Taiwan.

second most common laparoscopic complication after a misplacement of trocar or Veress needle, which is 41.8% (114/273).<sup>11</sup> Surgical techniques are more difficult if the surgeon's spatial orientation and hand-eye coordination are not well established. Injuries during laparoscopic electrosurgical procedures are similar to those during laparotomy and can be attributed to misidentification of anatomic structures, mechanical trauma, or electrothermal injuries.<sup>12</sup> The possible mechanisms are listed in the next paragraphs.

## Direct application

Injury by direct application of the electrosurgical probe can arise either from mistaken targeting or unintended activation. The speed of the procedure will result in either less or more coagulation and thermal spread.<sup>13</sup> Proximity between the electrode and the tissue can determine contact (desiccation) or noncontact tissue effect (fulguration).<sup>14</sup> The dwell time determines the amount of tissue effect. Prolonged activation will produce wider and deeper tissue damage more than the anticipated desired tissue effect.<sup>13</sup>

#### Stray current

A stray current arising from defective insulation can injure the bowel or blood vessels. A careful preoperative inspection of equipment and after use is the best means of identifying defective insulation.<sup>15</sup> The two major causes of insulation failure include the use of high voltage currents and the frequent resterilization of instruments, which can weaken and break the insulation.<sup>16</sup> The risk of an insulation break increases when using a 5-mm insulated instrument through a 10-mm sleeve, or by repeated use of disposable equipment.<sup>15</sup>

## Direct coupling

Direct coupling occurs when the active electrode is accidentally activated or is in close proximity to another metal instrument within the pelvic cavity, e.g., laparoscope or, metal grasper forceps.<sup>16</sup> Direct coupling can be prevented with visualization of the electrode and avoiding contact with any other conductive instruments prior to activating the electrode.<sup>15,17</sup>

## Capacitive coupling

Capacitive coupling occurs when the electric current is transferred from one conductor (the active electrode), through intact insulation, into adjacent conductive materials (e.g., bowel) without direct contact. Longer length of instruments, thinner insulation, higher voltages, and narrow trocars increase the risk of this type of injury.<sup>18</sup> Capacitor coupling can be minimized by activating the active electrode only when it is in contact with target tissues and limiting the time length of high-voltage peaks.<sup>13,14</sup>

## Return electrode or alternative site burns

The grounding (dispersive) pad offers the path of least resistance from the patient back to the generator and ensures an area of low current density.<sup>19</sup> If the return electrode is not completely in contact with the patient's skin, or is not able to disperse the current safely, then the exiting current can have a high enough density to produce an unintended burn.<sup>16</sup> It is important to have good contact between the patient and a dispersive pad.<sup>15</sup> A burn at an alternative site can occur if the dispersive (ground) pad is not well attached to the patient's skin.<sup>15</sup> When the dispersive pad is compromised in the quantity or quality of the pad or patient interface, the electrical circuit can be completed by some small grounded contact points such as electrocardiogram leads, towel clip, intravenous stand, etc., and produce high current densities, causing a burn. $^{19}$ 

# The management of electrosurgical injury

Bipolar electrosurgical injury, compared with monopolar injury, can be readily identified by viewing the area of blanch on the surface of the colon. The spread of electrothermal injuries is greater than the initial area of blanching, creating a large area of necrosis. Thus, the depth of injury is difficult to assess even if it is noticed intraoperatively. Thermal injury of the bowel necessitates segmental resection with a wide margin around the site of injury because thermal damage may extend several centimeters away from the site of thermal contact.<sup>20</sup>

When bladder injury is recognized intraoperatively, it can be repaired vaginally, laparoscopically, or by laparotomy. Early recognition with immediate salvage procedure, along with extended use of an indwelling catheter, may help overcome further sequelae.<sup>21</sup>

Intraoperative bladder injury can be detected by direct visualization of the bladder mucosa or Foley balloon or through the instillation of diluted dye via the Foley catheter.<sup>22</sup> A urine bag inflated with gas during the operation is suggestive of an injury.<sup>23</sup> Intraoperative ureteral injuries in gynecologic laparoscopy are usually not recognized during the procedure. Patients with persistent abdominal and/or flank pain, abdominal distention, and fever may raise concern during the postoperative phase.<sup>24</sup> Ureteral injuries recognized intraoperatively can be treated by direct laparoscopic end-to-end reanastomosis, or double-J ureteral stent with or without the assistance of ureteroscopy. If the initial salvage procedure fails, percutaneous nephrostomy and antegrade ureteral double-J stent is performed as a backup procedure to avoid the subsequent development of a ureteral fistula.

#### Detection and management of bowel injury

### The timing of diagnosis

According to a review by van der Voort et al,<sup>11</sup> 61.6% (154/250) of bowel injuries were recognized intraoperatively; 5.2% (13/250) and 10.4% (26/250) were recognized during early (within the next 48 hours) and late (at least on the 3<sup>rd</sup> postoperative day or later) postoperative phases, respectively. Laparotomy was the most frequently performed procedure to manage laparoscopy-induced bowel injury (78.6%). Conservative and laparoscopic treatment were used considerably less often (7.0% and 7.5%, respectively).<sup>11,25</sup>

# Injury to small bowel or prepped colon

A primary closure in two layers under laparoscopic guidance is recommended.<sup>20</sup> In selected cases with trocar-induced penetrating injuries of the bowel, institution of drainage and medical management with antibiotics may be possible, thereby precluding conversion to laparotomy.<sup>26</sup> Conservative management comprises percutaneous drainage of abscesses, antibiotics, or expectant treatment.<sup>11</sup>

#### Injury to the large bowel

It is appropriate to repair this injury at the time of surgery, usually with direct participation of a colorectal surgeon.<sup>22</sup> The exact technique of repair will depend on the size of the injury, the exact site, and if bowel preparation has been performed prior to surgery. As for colon injury, the transverse colon and sigmoid colon are most commonly traumatized by trocar insertion. The spillage of foul-smelling gas through the insufflation needle is a helpful diagnostic sign.<sup>27</sup> The treatment options include primary repair,

colostomy, or segmental resection.<sup>20</sup> Superficial lesions can be treated with a laparoscopic purse-string suture placed beyond the margins of the thermally affected tissue or by postoperative observation alone. Defects involving the full thickness of the bowel wall require direct surgical repair via laparoscopy or open laparotomy.<sup>27</sup> Primary closure of the perforation trauma as an alternative to traditional colostomy has been reported to be a safe method, with a failure rate varying from 1.2% to 2.4%, in the absence of any contraindications. The contraindications include more than two associated injuries, the need for more than four units of transfused blood, significant contamination, and high colon Injury Severity Scores.<sup>28</sup> A laparoscopic suture closure followed by copious irrigation until the effluent becomes clear may be also sufficient.<sup>29</sup>

The sigmoid colon is especially vulnerable because of its close proximity to the uterus and ovaries. A generous segmental excision (up to 5 cm on each side of the margin of the injury site) is required to prevent subsequent reperforation caused by coagulation necrosis. Currently, the best way to treat bowel injury during laparoscopic surgery is by traditional laparotomy. However, as laparoscopic surgeons become more experienced in these techniques, laparoscopic suture repair will become another choice in the management of selected cases.<sup>27,30</sup> A full-thickness penetration of the rectum can occur during excision of rectal endometriosis. After excision of the nodule of the rectosigmoid colon, a single- or double-layer repair can be performed by laparoscopic-assisted transvaginal approach or total laparoscopic intracorporeal technique.<sup>31</sup> Laparotomy followed by repair and colostomy should be considered for the unprepared bowel with a large amount of fecal contamination.<sup>20</sup>

## Detection and management of late complications

Delayed manifestation of bladder injury may result in vesicovaginal fistula, which requires repetitive repair if the first salvage procedure fails.<sup>23</sup> An intravenous pyelogram is helpful if a ureteric injury is suspected but not confirmed at the time of the initial surgery. A urology consultation is recommended to manage these complications.<sup>22</sup> If ureteral injury is detected in the late postoperative period after the formation of ureteral fistula, ascites with urine content (urinoma) may complicate the situation. Laparotomy for end-to-end anastomosis is usually necessary in cases with complete transection, ligation, or electrothermal injury-induced ischemic necrosis.<sup>23</sup> Delayed manifestation of bowel injury may cause high morbidity and mortality. van der Voort et al<sup>11</sup> reported an overall mortality rate of 3.6% (16/450) associated with complication of a bowel injury. However, the clinical picture may be varied. The early manifestation may be nonspecific, e.g., vomiting, abdominal pain, distension, and malaise, and later followed by additional features such as a localized peritoneal abscess or generalized peritonitis.<sup>20</sup> In this stage, fever, leukocytosis, and even septic shock can occur. Bowel injury caused by direct trauma or electrothermal injury may have a variable clinical course and histopathologic findings. Symptoms of bowel perforation after electrical injury usually appear later (4-10 days) than those of a traumatic perforation (usually within 12-36 hours.<sup>32,33</sup> Most electrothermal injuries, commonly of the large bowel, are unrecognized intraoperatively and lead to long-term sequelae.<sup>34,35</sup> As for the timing of detection, van der Voort et al<sup>11</sup> reported that more than 10% of injuries were unrecognized until the 3<sup>rd</sup> postoperative day or later. Some identifiable risk factors associated with bowel injuries were emergent nonscheduled surgeries, tubo-ovarian abscess, or uncertain preoperative diagnosis.<sup>23</sup> Multiple initial injuries had grave outcomes, were associated with prolonged hospitalizations, and demanded multiple salvage procedures.

### Conclusion

Because electrosurgical complications are an inevitable reality of laparoscopy, it is important to have a systematic awareness of the types of complications, know how to respond appropriately, and know how to communicate and deal with complications.<sup>36</sup> To achieve electrosurgical safety and prevent potential electrosurgical injury, it is crucial to not only understand the biophysics of electrosurgery, characteristics of the equipment used, desired tissue effects, types of injury, and the possible clinical manifestations, but also master laparoscopic surgical dexterity. An organized teamwork approach is important through team resource management. Intraoperative adjuvant protective maneuvers, early recognition, and immediate implementation of salvage procedures can help minimize complications. Risk-averse behaviors should be instituted, including elimination of uncertainty about intraoperative anatomy and a programmed inspection of the pelvis prior to withdrawing the laparoscope.<sup>19</sup> Improvement of dexterity with hand-eye coordination and knowledge of mechanisms of electrosurgical injury is important to recognize and reduce potential electrosurgical complications.<sup>32</sup> Also, physicians should be highly alert to postoperative warning signs, including obvious and insidious signs of peritonitis. Patient education prior to discharge and detection of delayed manifestations with salvage maneuvers may minimize catastrophic disasters.

## References

- 1. Medeiros LR, Rosa DD, Bozzetti MC, et al. Laparoscopy versus laparotomy for benign ovarian tumour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009:CD004751.
- Nieboer TE, Johnson N, Lethaby AT, et al. Surgical approach to hysterectomy for benign gynaecological disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;CD003677.
- Wu MP, Lee CL. The trends of minimally invasive surgery for benign gynecologic lesions, 1997–2007 in Taiwan. *Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther.* 2012;1:3–8.
- Hoffman CP, Kennedy J, Borschel L, Burchette R, Kidd A. Laparoscopic hysterectomy: the Kaiser Permanente San Diego experience. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2005;12:16–24.
- Wu MP, Huang KH, Long CY, Tsai EM, Tang CH. Trends in various types of surgery for hysterectomy and distribution by patient age, surgeon age, and hospital accreditation: 10-year population-based study in Taiwan. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2010;17:612–619.
- Wu MP, Wu CJ, Long CY, et al. Surgical trends for benign ovarian tumors among hospitals of different accreditation levels in Taiwan: an eleven-year nationwide population-based descriptive study. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;52:498–504.
- Hsu MI, Tang CH, Hsu PY, et al. Primary and repeated surgeries for ectopic pregnancies and distribution by patient age, surgeon age, and hospital levels: an 11-year nationwide population-based descriptive study in Taiwan. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2012;19:598–605.
- Azziz R. Training, certification, and credentialing in gynecologic operative endoscopy. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 1995;38:313–318.
- Peters JH, Ellison EC, Innes JT, et al. Safety and efficacy of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A prospective analysis of 100 initial patients. *Ann Surg.* 1991;213:3–12.
- Magrina JF. Complications of laparoscopic surgery. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2002;45:469–480.
- van der Voort M, Heijnsdijk EA, Gouma DJ. Bowel injury as a complication of laparoscopy. Br J Surg. 2004;91:1253–1258.
- Hulka JF, Levy BS, Parker WH, Phillips JM. Laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy: American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists' 1995 membership survey. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 1997;4:167–171.
- **13.** Advincula AP, Wang K. The evolutionary state of electrosurgery: where are we now? *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;20:353–358.
- Lipscomb GH, Givens VM. Preventing electrosurgical energy-related injuries. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2010;37:369–377.
- Jones CM, Pierre KB, Nicoud IB, Stain SC, Melvin 3rd WV. Electrosurgery. Curr Surg. 2006;63:458–463.
- Wang K, Advincula AP. "Current thoughts" in electrosurgery. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2007;97:245–450.
- Ito M, Harada T, Yamauchi N, Tsudo T, Mizuta M, Terakawa N. Small bowel perforation from a thermal burn caused by contact with the end of a laparoscope during ovarian cystectomy. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2006;32:434–436.
- Harrell AG, Kercher KW, Heniford BT. Energy sources in laparoscopy. Semin Laparosc Surg. 2004;11:201–209.
- **19.** Chandler JG, Voyles CR, Floore TL, Bartholomew LA. Litigious consequences of open and laparoscopic biliary surgical mishaps. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 1997;1:138–145.

- Li TC, Saravelos H, Richmond M, Cooke ID. Complications of laparoscopic pelvic surgery: recognition, management and prevention. *Hum Reprod Update*. 1997;3:505–515.
- 21. Saidi MH, Sadler RK, Vancaillie TG, Akright BD, Farhart SA, White AJ. Diagnosis and management of serious urinary complications after major operative laparoscopy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1996;87:272–276.
- 22. Jacobson TZ, Davis CJ. Safe laparoscopy: is it possible? *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;16:283-288.
- 23. Tian YF, Lin YS, Lu CL, et al. Major complications of operative gynecologic laparoscopy in southern Taiwan: a follow-up study. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2007;14:284–292.
- 24. Gomel V, James C. Intraoperative management of ureteral injury during operative laparoscopy. *Fertil Steril*. 1991;55:416–419.
- Deziel DJ, Millikan KW, Economou SG, Doolas A, Ko ST, Airan MC. Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a national survey of 4,292 hospitals and an analysis of 77,604 cases. *Am J Surg.* 1993;165:9–14.
- Birns MT. Inadvertent instrumental perforation of the colon during laparoscopy: nonsurgical repair. *Gastrointest Endosc*, 1989;35:54–56.
- 27. Reich H. Laparoscopic bowel injury. *Surg Laparosc Endosc*. 1992;2:74–78.
- Curran TJ, Borzotta AP. Complications of primary repair of colon injury: literature review of 2,964 cases. Am J Surg. 1999;177:42–47.

- 29. Reich H, McGlynn F, Budin R. Laparoscopic repair of full-thickness bowel injury. J Laparoendosc Surg. 1991;1:119–122.
- Lee CL, Lai YM, Soong YK. Management of major complications in laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy. J Formos Med Assoc. 1998;97: 139–142.
- Redwine DB, Koning M, Sharpe DR. Laparoscopically assisted transvaginal segmental resection of the rectosigmoid colon for endometriosis. *Fertil Steril*. 1996;65:193–197.
- Wu MP, Ou CS, Chen SL, Yen EY, Rowbotham R. Complications and recommended practices for electrosurgery in laparoscopy. *Am J Surg*, 2000;179:67–73.
- Soderstrom RM. Bowel injury litigation after laparoscopy. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc, 1993;1:74–77.
- Nezhat CH, Nezhat F, Brill AI, Nezhat C. Normal variations of abdominal and pelvic anatomy evaluated at laparoscopy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1999;94:238–242.
- Chang WC, Torng PL, Huang SC, et al. Laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy with uterine artery ligation through retrograde umbilical ligament tracking, *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2005;12:336–342.
- Lam A, Khong SY, Bignardi T. Principles and strategies for dealing with complications in laparoscopy. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2010;22:315–319.