

Test Report

SPONSOR: **Turf Design**
Elgin, IL

Sound Absorption
RAL™-A19-063

CONDUCTED: 2019-02-19

Page 1 of 7

ON: Warp baffle, spaced 6 in. on center

TEST METHODOLOGY

Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories™ is accredited by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) as an ISO 17025:2005 Laboratory (NVLAP Lab Code: 100227-0) and for this test procedure. The test reported in this document conformed explicitly with ASTM C423-17: "Standard Test Method for Sound Absorption and Sound Absorption Coefficients by the Reverberation Room Method." The specimen mounting was performed according to ASTM E795-16: "Standard Practices for Mounting Test Specimens During Sound Absorption Tests." A description of the measurement procedure and room specifications are available upon request. The results presented in this report apply to the sample as received from the test sponsor.

INFORMATION PROVIDED BY SPONSOR

The test specimen was designated by the sponsor as Warp baffle, spaced 6 in. on center. The following nominal product information was provided by the sponsor prior to testing. The accuracy of such sponsor-provided information can affect the validity of the test results.

Product Under Test

Trade Name: Warp
Manufacturer: Turf Design
Overall Surface Area: 1.48 m² (15.90 ft²) per unit

SPECIMEN MEASUREMENTS & TEST CONDITIONS

Through a full external visual inspection performed on the test specimen, Riverbank personnel verified the following information:

Test Specimen

Material: Polyethylene terephthalate felt
Dimensions: 10 baffles @ 3022.6 mm (119 in.) long x 196.85 mm (7.75 in.) deep
Thickness: 51.56 mm (2.03 in.)
Overall Weight: 33.79 kg (74.5 lbs)

Test Report

Turf Design
2019-02-19

RAL™-A19-063
Page 2 of 7

Physical Measurements (per unit)

Dimensions: 0.2 m (7.75 in) deep by 3.02 m (119.0 in) long
Thickness: 0.05 m (2.03 in)
Weight: 3.38 kg (7.45 lbs)

Test Environment

Room Volume: 291.98 m³
Temperature: 21.4 °C ± 0.1 °C
Relative Humidity: 64.3 % ± 1.2 %
Barometric Pressure: 100.6 kPa

Each sound absorbing unit had an absorptive area (all exposed surfaces) of 1.48 m² (15.90 ft²). The total absorptive area (all exposed surfaces) of all sound-absorbing units was 14.77 m² (158.98 ft²). The array of units covered 4.26 m² (45.86 ft²) of the horizontal test surface (total treated area).

MOUNTING METHOD

Type J Mounting: The specimen is an array of 10 spaced sound absorbing baffles suspended from cables such that the bottom edge of the baffles is located approximately 1295.4 mm (51 in.) above the horizontal test surface. This approximates the mounting method of a typical ceiling baffle installation. The baffles were arranged in a single row, spaced 152.4 mm (6 in.) on center.

Test Report

Turf Design
2019-02-19

RAL™-A19-063

Page 3 of 7



Figure 1 – Specimen mounted in test chamber



Figure 2 – Detail of individual baffle

1512 S BATAVIA AVENUE
GENEVA, IL 60134
630-232-0104

An  ALION Technical Center

RIVERBANK.ALIONSCIENCE.COM

FOUNDED 1918 BY
WALLACE CLEMENT SABINE

Test Report

Turf Design
2019-02-19


RAL™-A19-063
Page 4 of 7

TEST RESULTS

Note: There is currently no standardized method for calculating Absorption Coefficients from spaced object absorbers. The sound absorption performance of spaced object absorbers should not be compared directly with specimens tested as a single rectangular area (e.g. mounting types A, E, etc.).

1/3 Octave Center Frequency (Hz)	Total Absorption		Absorption per Unit	
	(m ²)	(Sabins)	(m ² / Unit)	(Sabins / Unit)
100	0.65	7.01	0.07	0.70
** 125	0.63	6.80	0.06	0.68
160	0.46	5.00	0.05	0.50
200	0.94	10.17	0.09	1.02
** 250	1.56	16.81	0.16	1.68
315	3.16	34.04	0.32	3.40
400	4.03	43.37	0.40	4.34
** 500	5.04	54.30	0.50	5.43
630	4.80	51.71	0.48	5.17
800	3.92	42.22	0.39	4.22
** 1000	4.65	50.02	0.46	5.00
1250	5.95	64.03	0.59	6.40
1600	6.24	67.17	0.62	6.72
** 2000	6.36	68.47	0.64	6.85
2500	6.49	69.85	0.65	6.98
3150	6.93	74.62	0.69	7.46
** 4000	7.73	83.25	0.77	8.33
5000	8.37	90.07	0.84	9.01


Tested by


Marc Sciaky
Senior Experimentalist

Report by


Malcolm Kelly
Test Engineer, Acoustician

Approved by


Eric P. Wolfram
Laboratory Manager


Test Report

Turf Design
2019-02-19

RAL™-A19-063
Page 5 of 7

SOUND ABSORPTION REPORT

Warp baffle, spaced 6 in. on center



Test Report

Turf Design
2019-02-19

RAL™-A19-063
Page 6 of 7

APPENDIX A: Extended Frequency Range Data

Specimen: Warp baffle, spaced 6 in. on center (See Full Report)

The following non-accredited data were obtained in accordance with ASTM C423-17, but extend beyond the defined frequency range of 100Hz to 5,000Hz. These unofficial results are representative of the RAL test environment only and intended for research & comparison purposes.

1/3 Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz)	Total Absorption		Absorption per Unit	
	(m ²)	(Sabins)	(m ² / Unit)	(Sabins / Unit)
31.5	0.88	9.46	0.09	0.95
40	0.98	10.52	0.10	1.05
50	0.22	2.42	0.02	0.24
63	-0.48	-5.14	-0.05	-0.51
80	0.69	7.40	0.07	0.74
100	0.65	7.01	0.07	0.70
125	0.63	6.80	0.06	0.68
160	0.46	5.00	0.05	0.50
200	0.94	10.17	0.09	1.02
250	1.56	16.81	0.16	1.68
315	3.16	34.04	0.32	3.40
400	4.03	43.37	0.40	4.34
500	5.04	54.30	0.50	5.43
630	4.80	51.71	0.48	5.17
800	3.92	42.22	0.39	4.22
1000	4.65	50.02	0.46	5.00
1250	5.95	64.03	0.59	6.40
1600	6.24	67.17	0.62	6.72
2000	6.36	68.47	0.64	6.85
2500	6.49	69.85	0.65	6.98
3150	6.93	74.62	0.69	7.46
4000	7.73	83.25	0.77	8.33
5000	8.37	90.07	0.84	9.01
6300	8.93	96.08	0.89	9.61
8000	9.38	100.97	0.94	10.10
10000	9.51	102.40	0.95	10.24
12500	10.39	111.79	1.04	11.18

1512 S BATAVIA AVENUE
GENEVA, IL 60134
630-232-0104

An ALION Technical Center

RIVERBANK.ALIONSCIENCE.COM

FOUNDED 1918 BY
WALLACE CLEMENT SABINE

Test Report

Turf Design
2019-02-19

RAL™-A19-063
Page 7 of 7

APPENDIX B: Instruments of Traceability

Specimen: Warp baffle, spaced 6 in. on center (See Full Report)

<u>Description</u>	<u>Model</u>	<u>Serial Number</u>	<u>Date of Certification</u>	<u>Calibration Due</u>
System 1	Type 3160-A-042	System 1	2018-08-09	2019-08-09
Bruel & Kjaer Mic And Preamp A	Type 4943-B-001	2311428	2018-09-28	2019-09-28
Bruel & Kjaer Pistonphone	Type 4228	2781248	2018-08-06	2019-08-06
EXTECH Hygro 662	SD700	A083662	2018-11-29	2019-11-29

APPENDIX C: Revisions to Original Test Report

Specimen: Warp baffle, spaced 6 in. on center (See Full Report)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Revision</u>
2019-02-21	Original report issued

END

SPONSOR: **Turf Design**
Elgin, IL

Report Referenced: **RAL™-A19-063**

Page 1 of 2

CONDUCTED: 2019-02-19

ON: Warp baffle, spaced 6 in. on center (See Full Test Report for Details)

Appendix D to ASTM C423 Sound Absorption Test

Non-standard calculation of equivalent NRC Rating and Absorption Coefficients from spaced absorbers

At this time ASTM C423 does not provide a standard method for determining absorption coefficients of spaced object absorbers. Tests of a set of sound absorbing objects spaced apart from each other will yield higher absorption rates than a specimen joined together as a single patch (A-Mount or E-Mount). For this reason it is unfair to provide NRC or absorption coefficient ratings for specimens that consist of a spaced set of absorbers. Despite this, the architectural industry has expressed great demand for a simple "single number" rating for these treatments. Likewise, acoustical consultants desire equivalent absorption coefficient data for use in acoustical modeling software. The following is an attempt to appease these demands until ASTM develops a standard method for calculation. Several alternate non-standard calculation methods are provided. Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories prefers method 1.

Method 1) Apparent Sound Absorption Coefficient calculated from total test surface area covered

The total sound absorption yielded by the specimen is divided by the total surface area of the test surface covered by the suspended baffles, including intermediate spaces. The baffle rigging covered 4.26 m^2 (45.86 ft^2) of horizontal test surface area. With an extra 152.4 mm (6 in.) of width to account for the space between the tested array and what would be the next baffle in a larger array, the surface area comes to 4.72 m^2 (50.82 ft^2) Apparent Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC) rating and Sound Absorption Average (SAA) figures are calculated from this data based on the methods described in ASTM C423-17. This may be the most accurate method for comparing baffle arrays to ceiling tile products. The apparent sound absorption coefficient data can be assigned to a single horizontal surface or plane in acoustical modeling software for approximation of baffle array performance. Such approximations rely on the assumptions that baffle spacing is similar to that of the tested array and that the installation occurs over a perfectly reflective ceiling surface.

Method 2) Apparent Sound Absorption Coefficient calculated from total exposed surface area of specimen

The total sound absorption yielded by the specimen is divided by the total surface area of all exposed specimen faces, as determined from client CAD models (1.48 m^2 (15.90 ft^2) per baffle x 10 baffles = 14.77 m^2 (158.98 ft^2) total surface area). Apparent Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC) rating and Sound Absorption Average (SAA) figures are calculated from this data based on the methods described in ASTM C423-17. This method shows the actual absorption occurring at the exposed surfaces, but does not provide a fair comparison with materials mounted as a uniform patch (in A-mount or E-mount).

Method 3) Apparent Sound Absorption Coefficient calculated from one face per baffle

The total sound absorption yielded by the specimen is divided by the surface area of one side of one large face for each baffle in the specimen, approximated as half of the total exposed surface area (0.74 m^2 (7.95 ft^2) per baffle x 10 baffles = 7.39 m^2 (79.49 ft^2) total surface area). Apparent Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC) rating and Sound Absorption Average (SAA) figures are calculated from this data based on the methods described in ASTM C423-17. This method is favored by some material manufacturers since it yields very high NRC figures, but does not provide a fair comparison with other ceiling tile or wall panel products. Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories recommends that results obtained from this method be used for research and comparison purposes only; such results should not be used for marketed claims of product performance.

SPONSOR: **Turf Design**

Report Referenced: **RAL™-A19-063**

CONDUCTED: 2019-02-19

Page 2 of 2

Appendix D: Data Note: See full test report for details of mounting position, spacing, and configuration, as these parameters greatly affect sound absorption performance.

Specimen Absorption			Method 1	Method 2	Method 3
			Apparent Abs. Coefficient From Total Coverage Area	Apparent Abs. Coefficient From Total Exposed Surface Area	Apparent Abs. Coefficient From One Face/Baffle
Freq. (Hz)	Sabins	Sabins / Unit			
31.5	9.46	0.95	0.19	0.06	0.12
40	10.52	1.05	0.21	0.07	0.13
50	2.42	0.24	0.05	0.02	0.03
63	-5.14	-0.51	-0.10	-0.03	-0.06
80	7.40	0.74	0.15	0.05	0.09
100	7.01	0.70	0.14	0.04	0.09
125	6.80	0.68	0.13	0.04	0.09
160	5.00	0.50	0.10	0.03	0.06
200	10.17	1.02	0.20	0.06	0.13
250	16.81	1.68	0.33	0.11	0.21
315	34.04	3.40	0.67	0.21	0.43
400	43.37	4.34	0.85	0.27	0.55
500	54.30	5.43	1.07	0.34	0.68
630	51.71	5.17	1.02	0.33	0.65
800	42.22	4.22	0.83	0.27	0.53
1,000	50.02	5.00	0.98	0.31	0.63
1,250	64.03	6.40	1.26	0.40	0.81
1,600	67.17	6.72	1.32	0.42	0.85
2,000	68.47	6.85	1.35	0.43	0.86
2,500	69.85	6.98	1.37	0.44	0.88
3,150	74.62	7.46	1.47	0.47	0.94
4,000	83.25	8.33	1.64	0.52	1.05
5,000	90.07	9.01	1.77	0.57	1.13
6,300	96.08	9.61	1.89	0.60	1.21
8,000	100.97	10.10	1.99	0.64	1.27
10,000	102.40	10.24	2.01	0.64	1.29
12,500	111.79	11.18	2.20	0.70	1.41
Apparent NRC:			0.95	0.30	0.60
Apparent SAA:			0.94	0.30	0.60

Prepared by


Malcolm Kelly
Test Engineer, Acoustician